

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/777,378	02/06/2001	Hiroaki Kitamoto	Kanzaki Case 161	8381	
7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER		
FLYNN, THII	EL, BOUTELL & TAN	IS, P.C.			
2026 Rambling	Road				
Kalamazoo, Mi	I 49008-1699		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WY

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/777,378	77,378 KITAMOTO, HIROAKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian D Nash	3721	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>21 June 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.					
1.	\boxtimes		e brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ading or in the proper order.		
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).		
3.			east one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).		
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).		
5.		The	brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).		
6.	\boxtimes	A si	ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and		
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.		
	(b)	\boxtimes	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.		
7.	\boxtimes	The	brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).		
8.		The	brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).		
9.	\boxtimes	Oth	er (including any explanation in support of the above items):		
		<u>poin</u>	is 1 and 6(b): Appellant does not explain why the claims of each group are believed to be separately patentable. Merely ting out differences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable see EP 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7)		
			7: Appellant does not provide separate headings for each of the issues on appeal in the Arguments section as required MPEP 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)		

SCOTT A. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER